Change of Variable Theorem for Riemann Integral

By Ng Tze Beng

Kestelman gave the most general form of the change of variable theorem for Riemann integral. Note that in Kestelman's theorem, the change of variable function is an indefinite integral of a Riemann integrable function. It is, of course, an absolutely continuous function. We present here a slightly general change of variable theorem (Theorem 1 part (1) below) not requiring the derivative of the change of variable function to be Riemann integrable. This makes the application of this version readily available.

Theorem 1. Let [a, b] be a non-trivial interval. Suppose $\varphi:[a,b] \rightarrow [c,d]$ is a continuous onto function and $f:[c,d] \to \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded function. Suppose φ maps its set of nondifferentiability into a set of measure zero. That is, if

 $P = \{x \in [a,b]: \phi \text{ is not differentiable or has infinite derivative at } x\}$, then the Lebesgue measure $m(\varphi(P)) = 0$. Define $\varphi^*: [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ by

- $\varphi^*(x) = \begin{cases} \varphi'(x), & \text{if } \varphi \text{ is differentiable finitely at } x, \\ 0, & \text{if } \varphi \text{ is not differentiable finitely or is infinite at } x \end{cases}$
 - (1) Suppose f is Riemann integrable on [c, d]. If $f(\varphi(x))\varphi^*(x)$ is Riemann integrable on [a, b], then

$$\int_a^b f(\varphi(x))\varphi^*(x)dx = \int_{\varphi(a)}^{\varphi(b)} f(x)dx \, .$$

(2) Suppose φ^* is Riemann integrable on [a, b]. Then $f(\varphi(x))\varphi^*(x)$ is Riemann integrable on [a, b] if, and only if, f is Riemann integrable on [c, d].

Remark.

1. If φ is continuous and differentiable on (a, b), then it satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 1. The function φ satisfying the condition of Theorem 1 need not be of bounded variation but it is a Lusin function. If φ is absolutely continuous, then it satisfies the condition of Theorem 1.

2. Kestelman change of variable theorem follows from Theorem 1 part (2).

To prove Theorem 1, we shall need the following result.

Theorem 2. Let [a, b] be a non-trivial interval. Suppose $\varphi:[a,b] \rightarrow [c,d]$ is a continuous onto function and $f:[c,d] \to \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded function. Suppose φ maps its set of nondifferentiability into a set of measure zero. That is, if $P = \{x \in [a,b]: \varphi \text{ is not differentiable or has infinite derivative at } x\}$, then the Lebesgue measure $m(\varphi(P)) = 0$. Define $\varphi^*: [a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ by

 $\varphi^*(x) = \begin{cases} \varphi'(x), & \text{if } \varphi \text{ is differentiable finitely at } x, \\ 0, & \text{if } \varphi \text{ is not differentiable finitely at } x \text{ or } \varphi'(x) \text{ is infinite} \end{cases}$

Suppose φ^* is Riemann integrable on [a, b].

Suppose *A* is a subset of [*a*, *b*] of measure zero such that φ^* is continuous on [*a*, *b*] – *A*. Then for *x* in [*a*, *b*] – *A*, $f(\varphi(t))\varphi^*(t)$ is continuous at *x*, if, and only if, $\varphi^*(x) = 0$ or *f* is continuous at $\varphi(x)$.

Proof.

Take $x \in [a, b] - A$. Then φ^* is continuous at x. If additionally, $\varphi^* = 0$, then $f(\varphi(t))\varphi^*(t)$ is continuous at x since f is bounded on $\varphi([a, b])$. If f is continuous at $\varphi(x)$, then $f(\varphi(t))$ is continuous at x since φ is continuous at x and it follows that $f(\varphi(t))\varphi^*(t)$ is continuous at x.

Suppose now $f(\varphi(t))\varphi^*(t)$ is continuous at $x \in [a,b]-A$. $\varphi^*(x)$ is either equal to zero or is non zero. Suppose $\varphi^*(x) \neq 0$. Since $f(\varphi(t))\varphi^*(t)$ is continuous at x, $f(\varphi(t))$ is continuous at x. Since $\varphi^*(x) \neq 0$, φ is differentiable at $x \varphi'(x) = \varphi^*(x) \neq 0$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that x is in the interior of [a, b]. Suppose $\varphi^*(x) > 0$. Then by continuity of φ^* at x, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $(x - \delta, x + \delta) \subseteq (a, b)$ and $t \in (x - \delta, x + \delta)$ implies that $|\varphi^*(t) - \varphi^*(x)| < \frac{1}{2}\varphi^*(x)$ so that $\varphi^*(t) > \frac{1}{2}\varphi^*(x) > 0$. Hence, for $t \in (x - \delta, x + \delta)$, $\varphi^*(t) = \varphi'(t) > \frac{1}{2}\varphi^*(x) > 0$. Therefore, φ is differentiable on $(x - \delta, x + \delta)$ and $\varphi'(t) > 0$. Hence, φ is a strictly increasing continuous function on $(x - \delta, x + \delta)$. Therefore, the restriction of φ to $(x - \delta, x + \delta)$ has a strictly continuous inverse g. Moreover, $\lim_{y \to \varphi(x)} g(y) = g(\varphi(x)) = x$. Therefore, $\lim_{y \to \varphi(x)} f(y) = \lim_{y \to \varphi(x)} (f \circ \varphi) \circ g(y) = (f \circ \varphi)(x) = f(\varphi(x))$. Therefore, f is continuous at $\varphi(x)$. We can show similarly that if $\varphi^*(x) < 0$, then f is continuous at $\varphi(x)$.

Proof of Theorem 1 part (1).

Suppose *f* is Riemann integrable on [c, d]. Let $F:[c, d] \to \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

 $F(x) = \int_{c}^{x} f(t)dt$ for $x \in [c,d]$. Then *F* is absolutely continuous and is differentiable almost everywhere on [c, d]. Thus, there exists a subset $E \subseteq [c,d]$ such that m(E) = 0 and F'(x) = f(x) for $x \in [c,d] - E$. Note that and $m(\varphi(P)) = 0$.

Let $B = P \cup \varphi^{-1}(E)$. Then $m(\varphi(B)) = 0$. Since the Lebesgue measure is regular, we can take a measurable subset $C \subseteq [c,d]$ such that $B \subseteq C$ and m(C-B) = 0. For $x \in [c,d]-C$, φ is differentiable at x and F is differentiable at $\varphi(x)$ and $F'(\varphi(x)) = f(\varphi(x))$. Thus, $F \circ \varphi$ is

differentiable on [c,d]-C and $(F \circ \varphi)'(x) = f(\varphi(x))\varphi'(x) = f(\varphi(x))\varphi^*(x)$ for $x \in [c,d]-C$. As $\varphi(B) \subseteq E \cup \varphi(P)$ and $m(\varphi(P)) = m(E) = 0$, $m(\varphi(B)) = 0$. As φ is a Lusin function, $m(\varphi(C)) = 0$. As *F* is also a Lusin function $m(F \circ \varphi(C)) = 0$. Therefore, as

$$(F \circ \varphi)'(x) \le f(\varphi(x))\varphi^*(x)$$
 for $x \notin C$ and $f(\varphi(x))\varphi^*(x)$ is Riemann integrable, by

Theorem 1 of my article, "When is a continuous function on a closed and bounded interval be of bounded variation, absolutely continuous? The answer and application to generalized change of variable for Lebesgue integral", $F \circ \varphi$ is absolutely continuous and so is of bounded variation and differentiable almost everywhere on [a, b]. By Theorem 2 of

"Change of Variables Theorem", $(F \circ \varphi)'(x) = 0$ almost everywhere on $B = P \cup \varphi^{-1}(E)$. Note that $f(\varphi(x))\varphi^*(x) = 0$ for x in P. It follows that $(F \circ \varphi)'(x) = f(\varphi(x))\varphi^*(x)$ almost everywhere for x in P. Observe that φ is differentiable on $\varphi^{-1}(E) - P$ and since $m(\varphi(\varphi^{-1}(E) - P)) = 0$. By Theorem 2 of "Change of Variables Theorem", $\varphi' = 0$ almost everywhere on $\varphi^{-1}(E) - P$ and we have $(F \circ \varphi)'(x) = f(\varphi(x))\varphi^*(x)$ almost everywhere for xin $\varphi^{-1}(E) - P$. Hence, $(F \circ \varphi)'(x) = f(\varphi(x))\varphi^*(x)$ almost everywhere on C. Thus, $(F \circ \varphi)'(x) = f(\varphi(x))\varphi^*(x)$ almost everywhere on [c, d]. Since F is absolutely continuous, $\int_{\varphi(a)}^{\varphi(b)} f(t)dt = F(\varphi(b)) - F(\varphi(a))$. As $F \circ \varphi$ is absolutely continuous, $F(\varphi(b)) - F(\varphi(a)) = F \circ \varphi(b) - F \circ \varphi(a) = \int_{a}^{b} (F \circ \varphi)'(t)dt = \int_{a}^{b} f(\varphi(t))\varphi^*(t)dt$.

Proof of Theorem 1 part (2).

Suppose φ^* is Riemann integrable on [a, b]. Then there exists a subset A of measure zero such that φ^* is continuous on [a, b] - A.

Suppose $f(\varphi(x))\varphi^*(x)$ is Riemann integrable on [a, b]. Then $f(\varphi(x))\varphi^*(x)$ is continuous almost everywhere on [a, b] - A. For $x \in [a, b] - A$, by Theorem 2, $f(\varphi(x))\varphi^*(x)$ is not continuous at *x* if, and only if, $\varphi^*(x) \neq 0$ and *f* is not continuous at $\varphi(x)$. Let $C = \{t \in [a, b] - A : f \text{ is not continuous at } \varphi(t)\}$ and

 $D = \{t \in [a,b] - A : \varphi^*(t) \neq 0\}$ = $\{t \in [a,b] - A : \varphi$ is differentiable at t and $\varphi'(t) \neq 0\}$.

Thus, for $x \in [a,b] - A$, $f(\varphi(x))\varphi^*(x)$ is not continuous at *x* if, and only if, $x \in C \cap D$. Since $f(\varphi(x))\varphi^*(x)$ is continuous almost everywhere on [a,b] - A, $m(C \cap D) = 0$. As φ is a Lusin function, $m(\varphi(C \cap D)) = 0$. Let $D^* = \{t \in [a,b] - A : \varphi^*(t) = 0\}$. Then [a,b] - A is a disjoint union $D \cup D^*$. Note that $C = (C \cap D) \cup (C \cap D^*)$. Observe that D^* is a union of $\{t \in [a,b] - A : \varphi$ is differentiable at *t* and $\varphi'(t) = 0\}$ and

 $\{t \in [a,b] - A : \varphi \text{ is not differentiable at } t \text{ or } \varphi'(t) = \pm \infty \}.$

By hypothesis, $m(\varphi(\{t \in [a,b\}-A:\varphi \text{ is not differentiable at } t \text{ or } \varphi'(t) = \pm \infty\})) = 0$. By Theorem 3 of my article "Functions Having Finite Derivatives, Bounded Variation, Absolute Continuity, the Banach Zarecki Theorem and de La Vallée Poussin's Theorem", $m(\varphi(\{t \in [a,b]-A:\varphi \text{ is differentiable at } t \text{ and } \varphi'(t) = 0\})) = 0$. Therefore, $m(\varphi(D^*)) = 0$. It follows that $m(\varphi(C)) = 0$. Since A is of measure zero, $m(\varphi(A)) = 0$. Suppose $y \in [c,d] - \varphi(A)$ and f is not continuous at y. Then there exists $t \in [a,b] - A$ such that $y = \varphi(t)$ and so $t \in C$ and $y \in \varphi(C)$ which is a set of measure zero. Hence, $E = \{y \in [c,d]: f \text{ is not continuous at } y\} \subseteq \varphi(A) \cup \varphi(C)$.

Therefore, m(E) = 0 and so *f* is continuous almost everywhere and bounded and so is Riemann integrable.

Suppose $f: [c, d] \to \mathbf{R}$ is Riemann integrable. Then f is continuous almost everywhere on [c, d]. d]. Hence, there exists a subset E in [c, d] of measure zero such that f is continuous on [c, d]- E. Note that f is bounded on [c, d] and φ^* is bounded on [a, b]. It follows that $f(\varphi(t))\varphi^*(t)$ is bounded on [a, b].

Suppose $x \in [a,b] - A$ and $\varphi^*(x) = 0$. Since the function φ^* is continuous at x and f is bounded so that $f \circ \varphi$ is also bounded, $\lim_{y \to y} f(\varphi(y))\varphi^*(y) = \lim_{y \to y} \varphi^*(y) = \varphi^*(x) = 0$. Hence $f(\varphi(t))\varphi^*(t)$ is continuous at x for $x \in \{t \in [a,b] - A : \varphi^*(t) = 0\}$. Let $L = \{t \in [a,b] - A : \varphi^*(t) \neq 0\} = \{t \in [a,b] - A : \varphi'(t) \neq 0\}$. It remains to show that $f(\varphi(t))\varphi^*(t)$ is continuous almost everywhere in L. Let $B = \varphi^{-1}(E)$. For $x \in L - B$, $\varphi(x) \notin E$ x so that f is continuous at $\varphi(x)$ and since φ is continuous at x, it follows that $f(\varphi(t))$ is continuous at x. Therefore, $f(\varphi(t))\varphi^*(t)$ is continuous on L-B. By Theorem 2 of Change of Variables Theorems, since $m(\varphi(B \cap L)) = 0$ because $m(\varphi(B)) = 0$, $\varphi'(t) = 0$ almost everywhere on $B \cap L$. This means $\varphi^*(t) = 0$ almost everywhere on $B \cap L$. It follows that $f(\varphi(t))\varphi^*(t)$ is continuous almost everywhere on $B \cap L$. Hence, $f(\varphi(t))\varphi^*(t)$ is continuous almost everywhere on L and so on [a,b]-A and as m(A) = 0, it is continuous almost everywhere on [a, b]. This means that $f(\varphi(t))\varphi^*(t)$ is Riemann integrable on [a, b].

Corollary 3. Let [a, b] be a non-trivial interval. Suppose $\varphi:[a,b] \rightarrow [c,d]$ is a continuous onto function and $f:[c,d] \to \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded function. Suppose φ is differentiable finitely except for a denumerable subset in [a, b]. Define $\varphi^*:[a,b] \to \mathbb{R}$ by

 $\varphi^*(x) = \begin{cases} \varphi'(x), & \text{if } \varphi \text{ is differentiable finitely at } x, \\ 0, & \text{if } \varphi \text{ is not differentiable finitely or is infinite at } x \end{cases}$

(1) Suppose f is Riemann integrable on [c, d]. If $f(\varphi(x))\varphi^*(x)$ is Riemann integrable on [a, b], then $\int_a^b f(\varphi(x))\varphi^*(x)dx = \int_{\varphi(a)}^{\varphi(b)} f(x)dx$.

(2) Suppose φ^* is Riemann integrable on [a, b]. Then $f(\varphi(x))\varphi^*(x)$ is Riemann integrable on [a, b] if, and only if, f is Riemann integrable on [c, d].

Proof. If φ is differentiable finitely except for a denumerable subset in [a, b], then it satisfies the condition in Theorem 1 and the Corollary follows from Theorem 1.

Corollary 3 applies to common situation when $\varphi:[a,b] \rightarrow [c,d]$ is continuous and differentiable or continuous and piecewise differentiable on (a, b).

Example 4.

(1) Here is an example of seemingly difficult improper Riemann integral.

Let $g:[0, 1] \to \mathbf{R}$ be defined by $g(x) = \begin{cases} x^2 \sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2x}\right), x > 0\\ 0, x = 0 \end{cases}$ and let $f:[0, 1] \to \mathbf{R}$ be defined by $f(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}}, x > 0\\ 0, x = 0 \end{cases}$. Then f is not bounded on [0, 1]. The function f is 0, x = 0

Lebesgue integrable and the integral is given by the improper Riemann integral $\int_0^1 f(x)dt = \lim_{t \to 0^+} \int_t^1 f(x)dt = \lim_{t \to 0^+} F(t), \text{ where } F: (0, 1] \to \mathbf{R} \text{ defined by}$ $F(t) = \int_t^1 f(x)dx = 1 - \sqrt{t}. \text{ Observe that}$

$$f(g(x)) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2x \left| \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2x}\right) \right|}, & x > 0 \text{ and } x \neq \frac{1}{2k} \text{ , integer } k \ge 1 \\ 0, & x = 0 \text{ or } x = \frac{1}{2k} \text{ , integer } k \ge 1 \end{cases}$$

Note that $f \circ g$ is not bounded on [0, 1], hence it is not Riemann integrable on [0, 1].

The function g is differentiable on [0, 1] and

$$g'(x) = \begin{cases} 2x\sin^2\left(\frac{\pi}{2x}\right) - \pi\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2x}\right)\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2x}\right), & x > 0\\ 0, & x = 0 \end{cases}$$

Note that g' is bounded and continuous almost everywhere on [0, 1]. Hence, it is Riemann integrable on [0, 1]. However,

$$f(g(x))g'(x) = \begin{cases} \left| \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2x}\right) \right| - \frac{\pi}{2} \cdot \frac{\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2x}\right)}{\left|\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2x}\right)\right|} \cdot \frac{\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2x}\right)}{x}, x > 0 \text{ and } x \neq \frac{1}{2k} \text{ , integer } k \ge 1 \\ 0, x = 0 \text{ or } x = \frac{1}{2k}, \text{ integer } k \ge 1 \end{cases}$$

and is not Lebesgue integrable on [0, 1]. Moreover, f(g(x))g'(x) is unbounded on [0, 1] and so it is not Riemann integrable on [0, 1]. However, f(g(x))g'(x) is Riemann integrable on the interval, [t, 1], for 0 < t < 1.

We can apply Theorem 1 in this case to the integrals on [t, 1] for 0 < t < 1, giving

$$\int_{t}^{1} f(g(x)) \cdot g'(x) dx = \int_{g(t)}^{g(1)} f(x) dx = \int_{g(t)}^{1} f(x) dx = 1 - \sqrt{g(t)} \, .$$

Therefore, the improper Riemann integral,

$$\int_{0}^{1} f(g(x)) \cdot g'(x) dx = \lim_{t \to 0^{+}} \int_{t}^{1} f(g(x)) \cdot g'(x) dx = \lim_{t \to 0^{+}} \left(1 - \sqrt{g(t)}\right) = 1.$$

This shows that f(g(x))g'(x) is improperly Riemann integrable on [0, 1] and the integral is equal to 1.

Note that one can deduce by applying an alternating series test for improper integrals, that f(g(x))g'(x) is improperly Riemann integrable on [0, 1].

(2) Let $g:[0, 1] \to \mathbf{R}$ be defined by $g(x) = \begin{cases} x \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2x}\right), \ x > 0\\ 0, \ x = 0 \end{cases}$. The function g is

continuous on [0, 1] and differentiable on (0,1] with $g'(x) = \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2x}\right) - \frac{\pi}{2x}\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2x}\right)$ for x > 0.

0. Note that g' is not Lebesgue integrable on [0, 1] and so is not Riemann integrable on [0, 1]. Let $g^*(x) = \begin{cases} g'(x), & \text{if } x > 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$. Let $f(x) = \frac{\pi}{2} \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}x\right)$, Then f is Riemann integrable

$$f(g(x))g^*(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\pi}{2}\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}x\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2x}\right)\right) \left(\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2x}\right) - \frac{\pi}{2x}\cos\left(\frac{\pi}{2x}\right)\right), x > 0\\ 0, x = 0 \end{cases}$$

Note that $f(g(x))g^*(x)$ is bounded on [0, 1] and is continuous on (0, 1]. Therefore, $f(g(x))g^*(x)$ is Riemann integrable on [0, 1]. By Theorem 1, part (1),

$$\int_0^1 f(g(x))g^*(x)dx = \int_{g(0)}^{g(1)} f(x)dx = \int_0^1 \frac{\pi}{2} \sin\left(\frac{\pi}{2}x\right)dx = 1.$$