Monotone Function, Function of Bounded Variation, Fundamental Theorem of Calculus
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Have you ever wonder about just how 'nice' is monotone function? The following fact about monotone function is not usually revealed in a first course on calculus. Firstly, we say what we meant by a monotone function.

Definition 1. Let $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a real valued function defined on the closed and bounded interval $[a, b]$ with $a < b$. We say $f$ is a monotone function if it is either increasing or decreasing, that is, either for all $x$ and $y$ such that $a \leq x < y \leq b$, $f(x) \leq f(y)$ (increasing) or for all $x$ and $y$ such that $a \leq x < y \leq b$, $f(x) \geq f(y)$ (decreasing).

Throughout we shall assume that $[a, b]$ is a non trivial interval with $a < b$. Before we embark on describing the points of discontinuity of $f$, we shall see how the values of the differences of the left and right limits of $f$ at a finite set of points in $[a, b]$ can sum up to. Note that if $f$ is continuous, then this sum is always zero. This will in some sense detect some discontinuity of the function $f$. If $f$ is a monotone function, then the difference of the left and right limits at a point $x$ being zero is equivalent to the function being continuous at the point $x$. Why? Why do the left and right limits at $x$ exist? An explanation is in order.

Notice that if $f$ is increasing, then for a fixed $x$ in $(a, b)$, for all $y$ in $[a, b]$ such that $y < x$, $f(y) < f(x)$. Therefore, the set $\{ f(y) : y \in [a, b] \text{ and } y < x \}$ is bounded above by $f(x)$. Hence by the completeness property of $\mathbb{R}$, $\sup \{ f(y) : y \in [a, b] \text{ and } y < x \}$ exists and is less than or equal to $f(x)$. We claim this is the left limit of $f$ at $x$.

Denote $\sup \{ f(y) : y \in [a, b] \text{ and } y < x \}$ by $L$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $L - \varepsilon < L$. Therefore, by the definition of supremum, there exists a $y_0 \in \{ f(y) : y \in [a, b] \text{ and } y < x \}$ such that $L - \varepsilon < y_0 \leq L$. Therefore, there exists a $x_0 \in [a, b]$ such that $x_0 < x$, $f(x_0) = y_0$. Let now $\delta = x - x_0 > 0$. Then for all $x$ in $[a, b]$ such that $x - \delta < x < x_0$, i.e., $x_0 < z < x$, we have $y_0 = f(x_0) \leq f(z) \leq f(x)$. Since $f(z) \in \{ f(y) : y \in [a, b] \text{ and } y < x \}$, $f(z) \leq \sup \{ f(y) : y \in [a, b] \text{ and } y < x \} = L$. Therefore, we have $L - \varepsilon < y_0 \leq f(z) \leq L$. Thus $|f(z) - L| = L - f(z) < \varepsilon$. We have finally shown that for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that for any $z$ in $[a, b]$ with $x - \delta < z < x$, $|f(z) - L| < \varepsilon$.

This means that the left limit of $f$ at $x$ is $L \leq f(x)$. Similarly, we can show that the right limit of $f$ at $x$ is the infimum of $\{ f(y) : y \in [a, b] \text{ and } y > x \}$ and is greater than or equal to $f(x)$. Thus, for any $x$ in $(a, b)$, $\lim_{y \to x^-} f(y) \leq f(x) \leq \lim_{y \to x^+} f(y)$. Now the limit of $f$ at $x$ exists, if and only if, the left and right limits at $x$ exist and are the same. Therefore, if the limit of $f$ at $x$ exists, it must be equal to $f(x)$ and so $f$ must be continuous at $x$. Hence the only possible way for $f$ to be discontinuous at $x$ is for the left and right limits at $x$ to be different, that is by definition a jump discontinuity. If $a = b$, the same argument as above for the left limit shows that $\sup \{ f(y) : y \in [a, b] \text{ and } y < b \} = \lim_{y \to b^-} f(y) \leq f(b)$ and if $x = a$ we shall have $\inf \{ f(y) : y \in [a, b] \text{ and } y \geq a \} = \lim_{y \to a^+} f(y) \geq f(a)$. A jump at the point $x$ in $(a, b)$ is defined to be $\lim_{y \to x^-} f(y) - \lim_{y \to x^+} f(y)$, at $a$, it is $\lim_{y \to a^-} f(y) - f(a)$ and at $b$, it is $f(b) - \lim_{y \to a^+} f(y)$. Therefore, the only possible kind of discontinuity at the end points is also a jump discontinuity, that is, when the jump is not zero. In particular when the jump is zero.
at $x$, the function must be continuous at $x$. By definition, when the function is continuous at $x$, the jump must be zero. This is the case for increasing function. When $f$ is decreasing, we shall have the same conclusion by a similar argument.

Thus, if $f$ is a monotone function then this sum does detect the discontinuity of the function $f$ at these points and to some extent can tell us something about the points of discontinuity of $f$.

**Theorem 2.** Suppose $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an increasing function. Let $x_0 = a < x_1 < x_2 < \ldots < x_n = b$ be a partition of $[a, b]$. (See Page 121 of *Calculus, an Introduction.*) Then the following sum

$$
[f(a^+) - f(a^-)] + [f(x_1^+) - f(x_1^-)] + \ldots + [f(x_{n-1}^+) - f(x_{n-1}^-)] + [f(b) - f(b^-)]
$$

$$
\leq f(b) - f(a),
$$

where $f(x^+) = \lim_{k \to x^+} f(k)$ and $f(x^-) = \lim_{k \to x^-} f(k)$.

**Proof.** Note that the function $f$ is bounded. The idea of proof is very simple. Take a point $y_i$ in each of the open interval $(x_{i-1}, x_i)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Then the sum of the differences of the values of $f$ at these points would add up to $f(b) - f(a)$. Notice by the completeness property of $\mathbb{R}$, the left and right limits at the $x_i$'s exist. (See the above explanation.) Note that for $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$, $x_{i-1} < y_i < x_i < y_{i+1} < x_{i+1}$ and so since $f$ is increasing $f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1}) \leq f(y_i) \leq f(x_i) \leq f(x_{i+1})$. Note also that $f(x_n) = f(b^-)$.

Thus, for $i = 1, \ldots, n-1,$

$$
[f(x_i^+) - f(x_i^-)] \leq f(x_{i+1}) - f(y_i).
$$

Then

$$
[f(a^+) - f(a^-)] + [f(x_1^+) - f(x_1^-)] + \ldots + [f(x_{n-1}^+) - f(x_{n-1}^-)] + [f(b) - f(b^-)]
$$

$$
\leq [f(x_1^+) - f(a)] + [f(y_1^+) - f(y_1^-)] + \ldots + [f(x_n^+)] + [f(b^-) - f(x_n^-)]
$$

$$
= f(b) - f(a).
$$

This theorem also says that if $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an increasing function, then the discontinuity of $f$ can only be jump discontinuity not exceeding $f(b) - f(a)$. We shall use the above theorem to determine the size of the set of the points of discontinuity of $f$.

**Theorem 3.** Suppose $f : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a monotone function. Then the set of discontinuity of $f$ is countable.

**Proof.** Assume that $f$ is increasing. As remark above any point of discontinuity of $f$ is also a jump discontinuity. So we look at the points in $(a, b)$, where the jump of discontinuity exceeds $1/n$ for some natural number $n$. This is the set

$$
\text{Dis}_n=\{x \in (a, b) : f(x^+) - f(x^-) > 1/n \}.
$$

How large can this set be? Strange enough, Theorem 2 can tell us something. Take $k$ points in this set, then for each point $x$ the jump $f(x^+) - f(x^-) > 1/n$. Thus by theorem 2, summing over these $k$ points would give us a sum less than or equal to $f(b) - f(a)$. That means $f(b) - f(a) \geq k/n$. Consequently $k \leq n(f(b) - f(a))$. Hence the number of points in $\text{Dis}_n$ cannot exceed $n(f(b) - f(a))$ and so is finite. Now the set of discontinuity of $f$ is $D = \bigcup \{\text{Dis}_n : n = 1, \ldots, \infty\}$, that is the union of all the $\text{Dis}_n$. Since each $\text{Dis}_n$ is finite and so $D$ being a countable union of finite set is countable. (This is a result in set theory.) Hence the set of discontinuity of $f$ is countable. If the
function \( f \) is decreasing, then \( -f \) is increasing. Because the sets of discontinuity of \( f \) and \( -f \) are the same, the above argument applies to give that the set of discontinuity of \( -f \) is countable and so the set of discontinuity of \( f \) is countable. This completes the proof of this theorem.

**Corollary 4.** Suppose \( f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is a monotone function. Then \( f \) is Riemann integrable.

**Proof.** We shall give a non standard proof without using the definition of the Riemann integral. The function \( f \) is obviously bounded since its range lies between \( f(a) \) and \( f(b) \). By Theorem 3, \( f \) is continuous except perhaps on a countable set. Since any countable set has Lebesgue measure zero, \( f \) is bounded and continuous almost every where on \([a, b]\) and so \( f \) is Riemann integrable by Lebesgue's Theorem.

**Definition 5.** Let \( f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) be a real valued function. Suppose \( \Delta : x_0 = a < x_1 < x_2 \ldots < x_n = b \) is a partition of \([a, b]\). Define \( \Delta f_j \) for \( j = 1, \ldots, n \) by \( \Delta f_j = f(x_j) - f(x_{j-1}) \). The function \( f \) is said to be of bounded variation if there exists a real number \( K > 0 \) such that \( \Sigma_{j=1}^{n} |\Delta f_j| \leq K \) for any partition \( \Delta \) of \([a, b]\).

Denote the set of functions on \([a, b]\) of bounded variation by \( BV(a, b) \).

The following is an easy consequence of the definition.

**Theorem 6.** If \( f \) is of bounded variation on \([a, b]\), then \( f \) is bounded.

**Proof.** Choose any \( y \) in \((a, b), \) let \( \Delta : x_0 = a < x_1 < x_2 = b \) be a partition with \( x_1 = y \). Then since \( f \) is of bounded variation, there exists \( K > 0 \) such that \( \Sigma_{j=1}^{n} |\Delta f_j| \leq K \). Therefore, \( |f(y)| - |f(a)| \leq |f(y) - f(a)| = |\Delta f_1| \leq \Sigma_{j=1}^{n} |\Delta f_j| \leq K \). Hence \( |f(y)| \leq |f(a)| + K \). This is obviously true for \( y = a \) and also true for \( y = b \), since \( \Delta : a < b \) is also a partition. Therefore, \( f \) is bounded by \( |f(a)| + K \).

**Theorem 7.** If \( f \) is monotone on \([a, b]\), then \( f \) is of bounded variation.

**Proof.** Assume \( f \) is increasing. Then for any partition \( \Delta : x_0 = a < x_1 < x_2 < \ldots < x_n = b \), \( \Sigma_{j=1}^{n} |\Delta f_j| = \Sigma_{j=1}^{n} \Delta f_j = f(b) - f(a) \) because \( \Delta f_j \geq 0 \). Hence \( f \) is of bounded variation.

**Total Variation**

**Definition 8.** Let \( f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) be a real valued function of bounded variation, that is, \( f \) is in \( BV(a, b) \). This means that there is a positive real number \( K \) such that for any partition \( \Delta : x_0 = a < x_1 < x_2 < \ldots < x_n = b \), \( \Sigma_{j=1}^{n} |\Delta f_j| \leq K \). Hence the set \{ \( \sum_{j=1}^{n} |\Delta f_j| : \Delta \) is a partition of \([a, b]\) \} is bounded above and so by the completeness property of \( \mathbb{R} \), \( \sup \{ \sum_{j=1}^{n} |\Delta f_j| : \Delta \) is a partition of \([a, b]\) \} exists. This is called the total variation of \( f \) on \([a, b]\) and is denoted by \( V(f; a, b) \). Obviously, \( V(f; a, b) \geq 0 \) and \( V(f; a, b) = V(-f; a, b) \).
Let $c$ be in $(a, b)$. Then it is obvious by adding the end point $b$ (the beginning point $a$) that any partition for $[a, c]$ ([c, b]) can be extended to a partition for $[a, b]$. And so it is trivial to conclude that if $f$ is of bounded variation on $[a, b]$, then it is also of bounded variation on $[a, c]$ and on $[c, b]$. We have then the following theorem.

**Theorem 9.** Let $f: [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}$ be a real valued function of bounded variation, that is $f$ is in $\text{BV}(a, b)$. Then for any $c$ in $(a, b)$, $V(f; a, b) = V(f; a, c) + V(f; c, b)$.

**Proof.** Take any partition $\Delta_1 : x_0 = a < x_1 < x_2 < \ldots < x_n = c$ for $[a, c]$ and any partition $\Delta_2 : y_0 = c < y_1 < y_2 < \ldots < y_m = b$ for $[c, b]$. Then the partition,

$$\Delta_3 : x_0 = a < x_1 < x_2 < \ldots < x_n = c = y_0 = c < y_1 < y_2 < \ldots < y_m = b,$$

is a partition for $[a, b]$ and so

$$\sum f(x_j) - f(x_{j-1}) = \sum |f(x_j) - f(y_{j-1})| \leq V(f; a, b).$$

That means for any partition $\Delta_1 : x_0 = a < x_1 < x_2 < \ldots < x_n = c$, for $[a, c]$,

$$\sum |f(x_j) - f(x_{j-1})| \leq V(f; a, b) - \sum |f(x_j) - f(y_{j-1})|$$

and so $V(f; a, b) - \sum |f(x_j) - f(y_{j-1})|$ is an upper bound for the set

$$\{ \sum |f(x_j) - f(y_{j-1})| : \Delta \text{ is a partition of } [a, c] \}.$$

Thus, $V(f; a, c) = \sup \{ \sum |f(x_j) - f(y_{j-1})| : \Delta \text{ is a partition of } [a, c] \}$

$$\leq V(f; a, b) - \sum |f(x_j) - f(y_{j-1})| = \sum |f(x_j) - f(y_{j-1})|.$$ 

Hence, we have for any partition $\Delta_2 : y_0 = c < y_1 < y_2 < \ldots < y_m = b$ for $[c, b]$,

$$\sum |f(x_j) - f(y_{j-1})| \leq V(f; a, b) - V(f; a, c).$$

That means $V(f; a, b) - V(f; a, c)$ is an upper bound for the set $\{ \sum |f(x_j) - f(y_{j-1})| : \Delta \text{ is a partition of } [c, b] \}$. It follows, by the definition of supremum, that

$$V(f; c, b) = \sup \{ \sum |f(x_j) - f(y_{j-1})| : \Delta \text{ is a partition of } [c, b] \} \leq V(f; a, b) - V(f; a, c).$$

Therefore, $V(f; a, c) + V(f; c, b) \leq V(f; a, b)$. Now we start with a partition $\Delta : x_0 = a < x_1 < x_2 < \ldots < x_n = b$ for $[a, b]$. Note that $c \neq x_0$, $x_n$ because $c \in (a, b) = (x_0, x_n)$. If for some $k \neq n, c = x_k$, then $\Delta_1 : x_0 = a < x_1 < x_2 < \ldots < x_k = c$ is a partition for $[a, c]$ and $\Delta_2 : x_k = c < x_{k+1} < x_{k+2} < \ldots < x_n = b$ is a partition for $[c, b]$. Therefore,

$$\sum |f(x_j) - f(x_{j-1})| = \sum |f(x_j) - f(x_{j-1})| + \sum |f(x_j) - f(y_{j-1})| \leq V(f; a, c) + V(f; c, b).$$

If $c \neq x_j, j = 1, \ldots, n-1$, then $c$ must be in the interior of one of the subintervals defined by the partition and so for some integer $k$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, $x_{k-1} < c < x_k$. Then $\Delta_1 : x_0 = a < x_1 < x_2 < \ldots < x_{k-1} = c$ is a partition for $[a, c]$ and $\Delta_2 : c < x_k < x_{k+1} < x_2 < \ldots < x_n = b$ is a partition for $[c, b]$. Thus,

$$\sum |f(x_j) - f(x_{j-1})|$$

$$= \sum |f(x_j) - f(x_{j-1})| + \sum |f(x_j) - f(x_{k-1})| + \sum |f(x_j) - f(x_{k+1})|$$

$$\leq \sum |f(x_j) - f(x_{j-1})| + |f(x_k) - f(c)| + |f(c) - f(x_{k+1})|$$

$$+ |f(x_k) - f(x_{k-1})| + \sum |f(x_j) - f(x_{j-1})|$$

by the triangle inequality

$$\leq V(f; a, c) + V(f; c, b).$$

In the above summation, if $k = 1$, then $\sum |f(x_j) - f(x_{j-1})|$ is taken to be 0 and if $k = n$, then $\sum |f(x_j) - f(x_{j-1})|$ is taken to be 0.

Hence we have shown that for any partition $\Delta : x_0 = a < x_1 < x_2 < \ldots < x_n = b$ for $[a, b]$, $\sum |f(x_j) - f(x_{j-1})| \leq V(f; a, c) + V(f; c, b)$.

Therefore,

$$V(f; a, b) = \sup \{ \sum |f(x_j) - f(x_{j-1})| : \Delta \text{ is a partition of } [a, b] \} \leq V(f; a, c) + V(f; c, b).$$

It follows that $V(f; a, b) = V(f; a, c) + V(f; c, b)$. This completes the proof.
The total variation is a very useful information for a function with bounded variation. We can even use it to define a function and with this function we can show that any function of bounded variation is the difference of two monotone increasing functions.

**Definition 10.** Let \( f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R} \) be a real valued function of bounded variation, that is \( f \) is in \( \text{BV}(a, b) \). The variation of \( f \) is a function \( V_f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R} \) defined by \( V_f(a) = 0 \), and for \( x \) in \( (a, b) \),

\[
V_f(x) = V(f; a, x) = \sup \{ \sum_{j=1}^{n} |\Delta f_j| : \Delta \text{ is a partition of } [a, x] \}.
\]

This is well defined since for any \( x \) in \( (a, b) \), \( f \) is also a function of bounded variation on \([a, x]\).

**Theorem 11.** If \( f \) is in \( \text{BV}(a, b) \), then \( V_f : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R} \) is an increasing function.

**Proof.** Let \( a \leq x < y \leq b \). Then

\[
V_f(y) = V(f; a, y) = V(f; a, x) + V(f; x, y) \quad \text{by Theorem 10}
\]

\[
= V_f(x) + V(f; x, y) \geq V_f(x) \quad \text{since } V(f; x, y) \geq 0.
\]

Thus \( V_f \) is increasing.

**Theorem 12.** If \( f \) is in \( \text{BV}(a, b) \), then \( V_f - f \) is an increasing function on \([a, b]\).

**Proof.** Let \( a \leq x < y \leq b \). Then

\[
(V_f - f)(y) - (V_f - f)(x) = V_f(y) - V_f(x) - (f(y) - f(x))
\]

\[
= V(f; a, y) - V(f; a, x) - (f(y) - f(x))
\]

\[
= V(f; x, y) - (f(y) - f(x)), \quad \text{by Theorem 10,}
\]

\[
\geq |f(y) - f(x)| - (f(y) - f(x)), \quad \text{because } x < y \text{ is a partition for } [x, y] \text{ and}
\]

\[
V(f; x, y) = \sup \{ \sum_{j=1}^{n} |\Delta f_j| : \Delta \text{ is a partition of } [x, y] \} \geq |f(y) - f(x)|,
\]

\[
\geq 0.
\]

Therefore, \((V_f - f)(y) \geq (V_f - f)(x)\) and so \( V_f - f \) is increasing.

**Theorem 13. (A characterization of \( \text{BV}(a, b) \)).** \( \text{BV}(a, b) \) consists entirely of functions defined on \([a, b]\), expressible as the difference of two monotone increasing functions.

**Proof.** If \( f \) and \( g \) are monotone increasing functions, then by Theorem 7, \( f \) and \( g \) are in \( \text{BV}(a, b) \) and as a consequence of the triangle inequality \( f \geq g \) is also in \( \text{BV}(a, b) \).

Suppose now \( f \) is in \( \text{BV}(a, b) \). Then both \( V_f \) and \( V_f - f \) are increasing functions. Thus \( f = V_f - (V_f - f) \) is the difference of two monotone increasing functions.

**Theorem 14.** If \( f \) is in \( \text{BV}(a, b) \), then \( f \) is Riemann integrable.

**Proof.** By Theorem 13, \( f = g - h \) where \( g \) and \( h \) are monotone increasing functions. Since monotone increasing functions on \([a, b]\) are integrable and \( f \) being the difference of two Riemann integrable functions, \( f \) is Riemann integrable.

Below we state a deeper form of the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus involving only Riemann integrable function.
Theorem 15 (Fundamental Theorem of Calculus). Let \( f \) be a Riemann integrable function on \([a, b]\). Define \( F : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R} \) by \( F(x) = \int_a^x f(t) \, dt \) for \( x \) in \([a, b]\). Then
1. \( F \) is in \( BV(a, b) \),
2. \( F \) is continuous on \([a, b]\).
3. If \( f \) is continuous at \( x \) in \([a, b]\), then \( F \) is differentiable at \( x \) and \( F'(x) = f(x) \).

**Proof.** Since \( f \) is Riemann integrable function on \([a, b]\), \( f \) is bounded on \([a, b]\).

Thus by the completeness property of \( \mathbb{R} \), \( K = \sup \{|f(x)| : x \in [a, b]\} \) exists. Then \(-K \leq f(x) \leq K \) for all \( x \) in \([a, b]\). Take any partition \( \Delta : x_0 = a < x_1 < x_2 < \ldots < x_n = b \) of \([a, b]\). Then for all \( x \) in \([x_{i-1}, x_i]\), \(-K \leq f(x) \leq K \). Since \( f \) is Riemann integrable on \([x_{i-1}, x_i]\) for \( i = 1, \ldots, n \), by Theorem 2 or Corollary 3 of Riemann Integral and Bounded Function, for \( i = 1, \ldots, n \), we have
\[
\left\lvert \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} f(t) \, dt \right\rvert \leq K(x_i - x_{i-1}).
\]

Thus, from (1) we have for \( i = 1, \ldots, n \),
\[
\left\lvert \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} f(t) \, dt \right\rvert \leq K(x_i - x_{i-1}).
\]

Now, for \( i = 1, \ldots, n \),
\[
|F(x_i) - F(x_{i-1})| = \left| \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} f(t) \, dt - \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} f(t) \, dt \right| = \left| \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} f(t) \, dt \right| \leq K(x_i - x_{i-1}).
\]

Therefore,
\[
\sum_{i=1}^n |F(x_i) - F(x_{i-1})| = \sum_{i=1}^n \left| \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} f(t) \, dt \right| \leq K \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - x_{i-1}) = K(b - a).
\]

This is true for any partition \( \Delta \) of \([a, b]\) and so \( F \) is of bounded variation and the total variation by the completeness property of \( \mathbb{R} \) exists and is finite. That is \( F \in BV(a, b) \) and \( V(F ; a, b) = \sup \{ \sum_{j=1}^n \left| \Delta F_j \right| : \Delta \) is a partition of \([a, b] \} \) is finite. This proves part (1). We have actually proved that \( V(F ; a, b) \leq \sup \{|f(x)| : x \in [a, b]\}(b - a) \).

For part (2). We shall show that \( F \) is uniformly continuous and hence continuous. For any \( x < y \) such that \( a \leq x \leq y \leq b \) we have that \(-K \leq f(t) \leq K \) for all \( t \) in \([x, y]\). Hence \(-K(y-x) \leq \int_x^y f(t) \, dt \leq K(y-x) \) and so \( \int_x^y f(t) \, dt \leq K(y-x) = |K| (y-x) \). This is also true for \( a \leq y < x \leq b \) because then \( \int_y^x f(t) \, dt \leq K(x-y) = |K| (y-x) \). Therefore, for any \( x, y \) in \([a, b]\),
\[
|F(x) - F(y)| = \left| \int_x^y f(t) \, dt \right| \leq K |y-x|.
\]

Thus for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \), take \( \delta \) to be any real number greater than zero if \( K = 0 \), otherwise take any \( 0 < \delta < \varepsilon/K \). We have then for all \( x, y \) in \([a, b]\),
\[
|x-y| < \delta \text{ implies that } |F(x) - F(y)| = \left| \int_x^y f(t) \, dt \right| \leq K |x-y| < K \varepsilon/K = \varepsilon.
\]

Therefore, \( F \) is continuous on \([a, b]\).

Part (3) is proved in Calculus, An Introduction, page 137.

This completes the proof.